Case 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB Document 85 Filed 10/25/22 Page 1 of 5

1	Richard W. Osman, State Bar No. 167993	Fulvio F. Cajina, State Bar No. 289126
	Sheila D. Crawford, State Bar No. 278292	LAW OFFICE OF FULVIO F. CAJINA
2	BERTRAND, FOX, ELLIOT, OSMAN &	528 Grand Ave. Oakland, CA 94610
3	WENZEL	Telephone: (415) 601-0779
	The Waterfront Building 2749 Hyde Street	Facsimile: (510) 225-2636
4	San Francisco, California 94109	Email: <u>fulvio@cajinalaw.com</u>
5	Telephone: (415) 353-0999	Stanley Goff, State Bar No. 289564
6	Facsimile: (415) 353-0990	15 Boardman Place Suite 2
١	Email: rosman@bfesf.com	San Francisco, CA 94103
7	scrawford@bfesf.com	Telephone: (415) 571-9570
8	Attorneys for Defendants	Email: <u>scraiggoff@aol.com</u>
8	CITY OF VACAVILLE, JULIE BAILEY,	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	CHUCK BAILEY, DUSTIN WILLIS,	CARMEL GARCIA, M.Y. AND L.Y., minors by
10	and DAVE SPENCER	and through their guardian ad litem VANESSA
		RUIZ; L.Y., a minor by and through his guardian ad litem FRANCISCA URIOSTEGUI
11		ad Hem FRANCISCA URIOSTEGUI
12		
13	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
14	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	CARMEL GARCIA, an individual; M.Y. AND	Case No. 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB
1.0	L.Y., minors by and through their guardian ad	
16	litem VANESSA RUIZ; L.Y., a minor by and	
17	through his guardian ad litem FRANCISCA	
10	URIOSTEGUI,	STIPULATION ALLOWING DEFENDANTS TO FILE A STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS
18	Plaintiff,	(LOCAL RULE 260(A)) IN SUPPORT OF
19	Tamari,	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND
20	v.	TO CONTINUE OPPOSITION AND REPLY
20		DEADLINES; ORDER
21	YUBA COUNTY SHERIFF'S	
	DEPARTMENT; YUBA COUNTY	
22	SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES DOES 1-5; CITY OF VACAVILLE; and VACAVILLE POLICE	Judge: Kimberly J. Mueller
23	OFFICER DOES 6-10;	Judge. Kimberry J. Mucher
24	·	
4	Defendants.	
25		J
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATION ALLOWING DEFENDANTS TO FILE A STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS (LOCAL RULE 260(A))

IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND TO CONTINUE OPPOSITION AND REPLY

DEADLINES; ORDER

Garcia et al. v. City of Vacaville, et al. 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB

6

11

13 14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

(Dkt. No. 68-69);

WHEREAS, on October 12, 2022, Plaintiffs CARMEL GARCIA, et al. filed an opposition to Defendants' Motion. (Dkt. No. 73);

WHEREAS, upon receipt of Plaintiffs' opposition to the Motion, Defense counsel learned for the

for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment (hereinafter the "Motion.")

WHEREAS, on September 30, 2022, Defendants CITY OF VACAVILLE, et al. filed a Motion

WHEREAS, upon receipt of Plaintiffs' opposition to the Motion, Defense counsel learned for the first time that a statement of undisputed facts, as required by Local Rule 260(a), was inadvertently not included with the Motion when it was filed on September 30, 2022. However, as set forth in the statement of facts within the Motion, each material fact included a citation to the particular portions of evidence to establish each fact;

WHEREAS, on October 13, 2022, counsel for the Parties met and conferred on the telephone regarding the statement of undisputed facts and Plaintiffs' counsel graciously agreed to stipulate to allow Defendants to file a statement of undisputed facts, which will mirror the facts and evidence set forth in the statement of facts within the Motion that was filed on September 30, 2022;

WHEREAS, on October 14, 2022, the Court issued a Minute Order wherein it continued the hearing on the Motion from November 4, 2022 to December 9, 2022 and noted that a further hearing continuance may be warranted because Defendants had not yet filed a statement of undisputed facts (Dkt. No. 76);

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that Defendants will file their statement of disputed facts pursuant to Local Rule 260(a) on October 14, 2022;

WHEREAS, in light of the Court's continuance of the hearing, the Parties have agreed that Plaintiffs will file a response to said separate statement of facts and amend their opposition accordingly by October 28, 2022;

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that Defendants will file their reply by November 4, 2022;

WHEREAS, neither Party will be prejudiced by the terms of this stipulation;

WHEREAS, Defense counsel apologizes to the Court for the inadvertent omission of the statement of undisputed facts with the Motion when it was filed on September 30, 2022. Typically,

Defense counsel's assistant prepares the separate document regarding the statement of undisputed facts

Case 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB Document 85 Filed 10/25/22 Page 3 of 5

1 | ba 2 | cu 3 | re 4 | en 5 | M

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

based upon the facts and evidence cited in the statement of facts within the Motion. Defense counsel's current assistant is new to the firm and was unaware of the requirement to file a separate document regarding the statement of undisputed facts and Defense counsel regrettably and inadvertently did not ensure the separate document regarding the statement of undisputed facts was prepared and filed with the Motion on September 30, 2022;

WHEREAS, good cause exists to permit Defendants to file the statement of undisputed facts. First, to do so will ensure Defendants' Motion is in compliance with Local Rule 260(a). Second, it will avoid delay in an order being issued on the merits of Defendants' Motion. As cited by Plaintiffs in their opposition to the Motion, in the matter of *Bohannon-Hingston v. Brachfeld Law Grp.*, Defendant did not file a separate statement of undisputed facts as required by Local Rule 260(a) and the court denied Defendant's motion for summary judgment and granted leave to re-file the motion in compliance with the local rules. (Case No. CIV S-11-776 KJM-EFB, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 120456, at *1-2 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 17, 2011).) Therefore in an effort to avoid delay in addressing the merits of Defendants' Motion, the Parties submit that good cause exists to allow Defendants to file a statement of undisputed facts on October 14, 2022 and to continue the briefing schedule as set forth above.

STIPULATION

NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants submit this stipulated request to allow Defendants to file a statement of undisputed facts, as required by Local Rule 260(a), on October 14, 2022 and for Plaintiffs to file a response and amended opposition to Defendants' statement of undisputed facts on or before October 28, 2022, and for Defendants to file a reply brief on or before November 4, 2022.

So stipulated.

Dated: October 14, 2022

BERTRAND, FOX, ELLIOT, OSMAN & WENZEL

By: <u>/s/ Sheila D. Crawford</u> Richard W. Osman

Sheila D. Crawford Attorney for Defendants CITY OF VACAVILLE, JULIE BAILEY, CHUCK BAILEY, DUSTIN WILLIS, and

DAVE SPENCER

Case 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB Document 85 Filed 10/25/22 Page 4 of 5

1	1 Dated: October 14, 2022 LAW OFFICES OF FULVIO F. CAJI	NA	
2 3 4 5 6	Fulvio F. Cajina Attorney for Plaintiffs CARMEL M.Y. AND L.Y., minors by and a guardian ad litem VANESSA RU minor by and through his guardia FRANCISCA URIOSTEGUI	through their JIZ; L.Y., a	
7	7 ELECTRONIC CASE FILING ATTESTATION		
8	I, Richard W. Osman, hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for	or any signatures	
9	indicated by a conformed signature ("/s/") within this E-filed document or have been authorized by		
10	counsel to show their signature on this document as /s/.		
11	Dated: October 14, 2022 By: /s/ Sheila D. Crawford		
12	Sheila D. Crawford		
13	13		
14	14		
15	15 ///		
16	16 ///		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27			
28	28		
-3	3 STIPULATION ALLOWING DEFENDANTS TO FILE A STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS (LC	CAL RIHE 260(A)	

IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND TO CONTINUE OPPOSITION AND REPLY DEADLINES; ORDER

Garcia et al. v. City of Vacaville, et al. 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB

<u>ORDER</u>

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

Good cause appearing, Defendants are ordered to file the statement of undisputed facts, as required by Local Rule 260(a) by October 14, 2022; Plaintiffs may file the response to Defendants' statement of undisputed facts and an amended opposition by October 28, 2022; Defendants may file a reply, if any, by November 4, 2022. The hearing date on the Motion shall be December 9, 2022.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 25, 2022.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE